Equality Impact Assessment > EIAs: Waste Management - Charge for the provision of bins to new properties in the city

Print this page

Equality Impact Assessment and Consultation

Approved

Approved by Johnston Annemarie

Equality Impact Assessment

Introductory Information

Reference number

418

Proposal type

Budget OProject

Budget theme

Council operating costs / infrastructure

Budget name

Waste Management - Charge for the provision of bins to new properties in the city

Decision Type

Type of decision

- Cabinet
- O Cabinet Committee (e.g. Cabinet Highways Committee)
- Leader
- O Individual Cabinet Member
- O Executive Director/Director
- Officer Decisions (Non-Key)
- \bigcirc Council (e.g. Budget and Housing Revenue Account)
- $\bigcirc \ \mathsf{Regulatory} \ \mathsf{Committees} \ \mathsf{(e.g.} \ \mathsf{Licensing} \ \mathsf{Committee})$

Lead Cabinet Member

Dagnall Lewis (LAB-CLLR)

Entered on Q Tier

Q Tier reference

001PLA013B2-1

Year(s)

EIA date

28/11/2018

EIA lead

Johnston Annemarie

EIA contact

Black Alastair

Lead officer

Page 123

Black Alastair

Lead Corporate Plan priority

An In-Touch Organisation

Portfolio, Service and Team

Cross Portfolio

Portfolio

Place

Place service(s)

■ Business Strategy and Regulation

Place team(s)

Waste Management

Is the EIA joint with another organisation (eg NHS)?

No ○ Yes

Brief aim(s) of the proposal and the outcome(s) you want to achieve

Introduce a fee for the provision of all bins to new households, currently only there is charge for the black bin only. This policy change means the new property will also need to make payment for the recycling and garden waste bins.

The new fee is proposed for new developments or property change of use only. The cost will include the delivery of all containers. Any further replacement recycling containers (but not garden waste) will be free of charge. Any replacement general waste (black bin) containers will attract a charge as per the policy introduced January 2018.

Impact

Under the $\underline{\text{Public Sector Equality Duty}}$ we have to pay due regard to the need to:

- eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation
- advance equality of opportunity
- foster good relations

More information is available on the <u>Council website</u> including the <u>Community Knowledge Profiles</u>.

Note the EIA should describe impact before any action/mitigation. If there are both negatives and positives, please outline these - positives will be part of any mitigation. The action plan should detail any mitigation.

Overview

Overview (describe how the proposal helps to meet the Public Sector Duty outlined above), Supporting Evidence (Please detail all your evidence used to support the EIA)

No discrimination, harassment and victimisation issues will be created as a consequence of this action.

Impacts

Proposal has an impact on

Poverty & Financial Inclusion
Chall.
Staff ○ Yes
Customers ⊚ Yes O No
Impact ○ Positive ○ Neutral ○ Negative
Level ○ None ● Low ○ Medium ○ High
Details of impact This a one-off fee for new properties affecting houses only and not flats.
This proposal is to charge for the provision of all the containers for waste and recycling
collections. The introduction of this charge may have a low level financial detriment to those facing financial exclusion. To mitigate, Waste Management will communicate with the Planning Service to make them aware of this charge and to encourage developers to pick this fee up, as opposed to deferring to the householder.
How widespread the impact is will be variable depending on the number of new properties built and the extent to which developers defer the cost to householders.
Supporting Documentation
Cumulative impact
Proposal has a cumulative impact ○ Yes ● No
Proposal has geographical impact across Sheffield
⊙Yes
Local Partnership Area(s) impacted All OSpecific
Action Plan and Supporting Evidence
Action plan
To mitigate, Waste Management will communicate with the Planning Service to make them aware of this charge and to encourage developers to pick this fee up, as opposed to deferring to the householder.
Supporting Evidence (Please detail all your evidence used to support the EIA) This a one-off fee per new property, affecting houses. Based on growth projections, it is

Page 125

anticipated that this charge will affect around 1500 -2000 new properties per annum. This

proposal is to increase cost recovery for provision of this service.

Consultation Consultation required O Yes ⊚ No If consultation is not required please state why This is a discretionary charge. It is not possible to consult with the affected client group as they are currently unknown. Additionally, costs may be met by the developer. Communication is required with the Planning Service to enable them to communicate effectively with developers regarding this issue. We will also make this information available on the SCC website. Are Staff who may be affected by these proposals aware of them No Are Customers who may be affected by these proposals aware of them Yes No If you have said no to either please say why It is not possible to consult with the affected client group as they are currently unknown. Communications will be as stated previously. Summary of overall impact Summary of overall impact Overall, there are no significant differential, positive or negative, equality impacts from this proposal. Summary of evidence Changes made as a result of the EIA Based on the known data and overall anticipated low level impact, no changes will be made as a result of this EIA. **Escalation plan** Is there a high impact in any area? O Yes ● No Overall risk rating after any mitigations have been put in place OHigh OMedium ●Low ONone **Review date Review date** 01/04/2020 If a review date is specified, it will appear in the 'Upcoming Reviews' view when the EIA review is within 30 Approved

Page 126